

1

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2016 1:21 PM
To: Mills, Dorcas
Subject: Re: Premises licence - Old Thameside Inn, Pickford Wharf, Clink St, SE1. -
Importance: High

Dear Dorcas

I have read the statement and while it is encouraging, it is important to attend the hearing to put on record objections I and other residents have re: any change to any operating hours, notwithstanding the amendments, which do not cover all objections. Southwark Council will see from my previous emails that the issue of noise nuisance relating to the pub operating outside mutually agreed hours of 09.30am - 22.30pm have been ongoing for some time, and this hearing will help all concerned understand the severity of the noise nuisance.

I have attached a medical letter and am awaiting a further letter from my consultant, which I will send or bring to the hearing.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

2

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2016 5:00 PM
To: Mills, Dorcas
Subject: Re: Premises licence - Old Thameside Inn, Pickford Wharf, Clink St, SE1. -
Importance: High

Dear Ms Mills,

Our objections to this application remain in their entirety.

Following the conciliatory statement released by the solicitors acting on behalf of the Old Thameside, I would like to reiterate our objection to the granting of a licence.

Our objection has always been that the Old Thameside should not be utilizing the outside area for their “off-sales” as it is not licensed, and for many years wasn’t even owned by their landlords. We therefore object to any legitimizing of this venture. We have sought many times in the past to stop them from using it as an extension to their own beer garden. We have also objected on the grounds that part of this area was created for public viewing with public funds. Any expansion of the outside drinking has a major impact on the running of the Golden Hinde as an educational museum for children as well as denying the general public access to this area.

Their assertion that they have been using the external areas for many years and that it is only due to a clerical error that it is not on the current license is being economical with the truth. Since the viewing platform was rebuilt in 1997 we have objected to their use of the area in question numerous times. We have a letter from the then landlords ANZ Bank written to the Old Thameside telling them to stop their activities on the viewing platform and paved area in July 2000. This was adhered to for a while, but over time The Old Thameside reverted to its old ways. As I became less involved in the day to day running of the Golden Hinde, the situation was allowed to continue unchecked, with the Old Thameside continually promising that they would cease their operations on this area.

Upon taking the ship back in 2016 I was appalled to see how the situation has been allowed to slide. I have since made clear my objection to them using this area in this manner. Since my objection was brought to their attention again, they have responded solely by attempting to paper over their misdemeanors by applying for this license.

Further to all this, the plans attached to their conciliatory statement do not match the facts and are incorrect and grossly misleading. The small scale plan (Plan No 1 dated 21.07.2016) details the area that GMS (The Pub’s Landlords) purchased in 2014, on which they are now attempting to license their operations. However, the large scale plan (1942/02) has a blanket hatched area that goes outwith this land and onto property not owned by GMS. This is land owned by either the PLA, Minerva House, or Palace House. I note that the Old Thameside are now proposing an outside “bar servery” which will greatly increase the level of outside drinking, thereby impacting more on those other than the pub’s clientele.

Their statement, *“A number of the objectors have referenced opening hours and extension of licensable activities. We are not seeking to change hours nor amend other licensable activities. I should be grateful if*

3

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 10:52 AM
To: Mills, Dorcas
Subject: RE: Premises licence - Old Thameside Inn, Pickford Wharf, Clink St, SE1. -

Dear Mr Mills,

[Application no 852714 – The Old Thameside Inn](#)

Thank you for your email below. I have read the email from Lisa Sharkey requesting amendments to the current variation application. It was also useful to receive the two plans as attachments to your email which I had not previously received or seen.

We welcome the withdrawal of the variation to add outdoor use to the current licence for late night refreshment however we remain convinced that the addition of off sales for the supply of alcohol will lead to additional noise and disturbance to members of our staff located above, thereby preventing them from being able to perform their duties effectively. We wish to continue to express our objection to this proposed variation.

We objected to the removal of the condition for making music and facilities for dancing since these were expected to increase the level of noise and disturbance from the balcony area which is already substantial, particularly during the good weather in the summer months. The applicant argues that these activities are no longer licensable. Please confirm that this is the case and send me an extract from the legislation confirming that these activities are no longer licensable.

Finally, we wish to express our concern with regard to the area covered by the licence. In our opinion, the licensee is currently allowing alcoholic drinks to be consumed in an area not covered by their existing licence. According to the MapSearch Snapshot attached to your email below, the hatched area alleged to be owned by GMS (we do not believe this is correct) is not leased to the licensee and therefore does not form part of the licensed area. However the licensee has already placed seating in this area and allows patrons to consume their alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks in this area. As a result of this action, the noise level from the licensed balcony and hatched area has increased substantially due to the increased area and capacity provided to patrons. Please confirm whether or not the hatched area is currently licensed for the consumption of alcoholic beverages. If not, please confirm what action you propose to take to remedy this situation. Finally, please confirm that the current variation application no 852714 does not seek to extend the licensed area beyond its current limits.

Regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]